SUNSHINE AGRICULTURE e-Newsletter

ISSN (E): 2583 – 0821

Sun. Agri.:e- Newsletter, (2025) 5(12), 8-10

Article ID: 480

Innovations in Biofertilizer Technology: Carrier-Based vs Liquid Biofertilizers

Subedar Singh[1], Rajnish Kumar[2], Khan Chand[3], Subhash Verma[4]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture, Mandsaur University, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh, Pin code- 458001

[2]Assistant Professor, School of Agriculture, Gyanveer University Sagar (M.P.) 470115

[3]Professor, Department of Agricultural Engineering, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus-797106, Distt: Chumukedima, Nagaland

Assistant professor, School of Agriculture, Eklavya Universitity-470661

*Corresponding Author

Subedar Singh*

Available online at

www.sunshineagriculture.vitalbiotech.org

Article History

Received: 24. 11.2025

Revised: 29. 11.2025

Accepted: 4. 12.2025

INTRODUCTION

1. Why Formulation Matters

Formulation is the single most important determinant in ensuring that beneficial microbes are actually delivered to the soil or seed in an active, living, and functional state. A good formulation should ensure satisfactory survival of microorganisms during storage and transportation, in addition to its survival long enough for cells to colonize the rhizosphere. Shelf life, ease of handling, compatibility with mechanical application, and survival under environmental stresses are all directly related to formulation technology. Research findings are increasingly demonstrating that liquid biofertilizers generally maintain higher microbial counts, purities, and uniformity than their application counterparts; however, the solid carrier-based products are still widely used due to their affordability, access, and ease of handling.

2. Carrier-Based Biofertilizers

Carrier-based biofertilizers result from immobilization of microbial cells onto solid carriers like peat, lignite powder, compost, farmyard manure, clay, vermiculite, or processed agricultural residues. These carriers provide protective microhabitats for the microbes to help maintain viability.

Strengths

Carrier-based formulations are generally inexpensive to produce and require relatively simple infrastructure, making them particularly suitable for small-scale industries and rural enterprises. When high-quality carriers rich in organic matter are used, they provide moisture-holding capacity and physical protection, allowing microbes to survive better during storage and field application. Farmers also find such products easy to apply through common methods like seed pelleting, soil incorporation, and broadcasting.

Limitations

Despite these advantages, traditional carrier-based inoculants usually have a rather limited shelf life ranging from three to six months, depending on storage conditions and the quality of sterilization. If the temperature is too high, or if the surrounding humidity is too low, microbial populations can decline rapidly. Contamination by unwanted microorganisms can also be a common problem that reduces field performance and reliability. In harsh environments, such as those prone to drought or very high temperatures, carrier-based products sometimes fail in their performance.

ISSN (E): 2583 – 0821

Recent Innovations

Advances in formulation science have produced engineered carriers with enhanced physical and chemical stability. Mineral carriers of defined porosity, sterilized compost-based carriers, and clay-organic composites offer better protection and controlled release characteristics. Composite beads made of alginate, bentonite, hydrotalcite, or biopolymer blends allow slow and sustained microbial release into the soil. Furthermore, integration of humic acids, biochar, micronutrients, or growth-supportive compounds into carrier matrices enhances microbial survival and colonization and nutrient transformation efficiency.

3. Liquid Biofertilizers

Liquid biofertilizers are high-density microbial suspensions formulated in either water-based or oil-based media that are enriched with ingredients such as stabilizers, nutrients, osmotic protectants, and buffering agents.

Strengths

Liquid biofertilizers can provide very high cell densities of more than 10^8 to 10^9 CFU per milliliter to ensure rapid colonization right after application. They allow uniform distribution through seed treatment, foliar spraying, drip irrigation, and fertigation systems, thus being ideal for modern mechanized agriculture. With proper formulation, liquid inoculants also maintain longer viability and enhanced shelf life compared with many traditional carrier-based products. Industrial-scale production is easier because fermentation, harvesting, and packaging can be automated without the need for sterilizing bulky solid carriers.

Limitations

Some liquid formulations need refrigerated or controlled storage since microbial viability declines rapidly, especially under hot climate conditions. The microbial population may fall significantly without effective stabilizers during transportation and/ or after dilution. Bulk liquid transportation and storage are not feasible for smallholder farmers living in remote or resource-limited areas.

Recent Innovations

Substantial developments involved the improvement of microbial stability by means of protective polymers, glycerol-based cryoprotectants, osmolytes such as trehalose, and micro-nutrient capsules. Liquid consortia combining nitrogen-fixing bacteria with microalgae, mycorrhizal spores, or plant growth promoting rhizobacteria have evidenced synergy on both nutrient supply and stress tolerance. High-adhesion seed-coating liquids are currently under development, which will resist desiccation during storage times and offer extended microbial survival until germination.

4. Encapsulation, Micro/Nano-Carriers, and Controlled-Release Systems: - Blurring the Lines

A major trend in biofertilizer innovation concerns the use of microencapsulation technologies that protect beneficial microbes inside micro- or nano-sized polymeric shells. New materials used for this purpose include alginate, chitosan, starch, cellulose derivatives, and various biopolymer composites. The resulting beads, capsules, or nano-gels can be applied as solids or suspensions in liquid formulations. Encapsulation protects microbes from desiccation, UV radiation, temperature variation, and predation by soil microorganisms. These smart carriers can be engineered for slow release of microbial cells in response to soil moisture, changes in pH, or root exudates, hence becoming efficient vehicles for delivery. Nanocomposites also allow co-packaging of nutrients, biostimulants, or signaling molecules along with microbes, improving field efficiency and reducing the need for additional fertilizers.

5. Quality Control, Regulatory Standards, and Commercial Trends

Quality assurance builds trust in the biofertilizer industry. Advanced molecular tools such as qPCR, flow cytometry, and next-generation sequencing are increasingly adopted by manufacturers for the accurate quantification of viable microbial cells, verification of purity of strain, and monitoring stability during shelf life. In a number of countries, regulatory agencies are working toward uniform standards concerning microbial counts, contamination thresholds, and requirements for efficacy. This drive toward standardization is accelerating the use of liquid and encapsulated formulations.

Commercial trends show rising demand for multi-functional products—combinations of plant growth–promoting microorganisms, mycorrhizae, micronutrients, amino acids, and biostimulants offered as a single, highly efficient formulation.

6. Comparative Summary

The carrier-based biofertilizers are usually lower in cost to produce and are easy to store and use, but they have a shorter shelf life and can exhibit variable microbial survival. Liquid biofertilizers offer higher cell densities and longer viability under optimum controlled conditions and are very well suited for mechanized farming methods, including drip irrigation and foliar application. Hybrid and encapsulated formulations resist environmental stresses and many times give the best survival and performance due to controlled-release features. The cost is generally higher for advanced formulations; however, their efficiency justifies the invested costs, especially in high-value crops.

7. Practical Recommendations

For Researchers and Manufacturers

Compatibility between specific microbial strains and formulation materials should be a priority in research. Encapsulation and nano-carrier systems have been recommended for environments that have high-temperature soils, drought, or other stressors. Investments in high-quality fermentation, stabilization, and molecular-level quality control will improve product reliability and farmer confidence.

For Extension Workers and Farmers

Liquid biofertilizers are suitable for applications requiring precise dosing, homogeneous distribution, and the integration into modern irrigation systems. However, they need to be bought more frequently and stored in recommended conditions. Carrier-based products remain suitable for farmers who prefer low-cost inputs, have limited access to refrigeration, or require simple on-farm handling. Regardless of formulation type, farmers should purchase from certified suppliers and follow proper storage and application guidelines. 8. Future Directions Future innovations will focus on smart delivery vehicles that release microbes in response to environmental cues such as moisture, nutrient availability, pH, or root secretion patterns. Nano-enabled biofertilizers will probably combine microbial inoculants with nano-scale NPK nutrients for synergistic effects.

CONCLUSION

Both carrier-based and liquid biofertilizers possess specific strengths and practical uses in contemporary agriculture. Carrier-based products maintain their low cost and familiarity for farmers, while liquid biofertilizers offer high cell counts, homogeneous application, and compatibility with contemporary farming systems. The greatest promise for the future, however, is hybrid technologies, especially encapsulation and nano-carrier systems, which combine the storage stability of solid carriers with the application flexibility of liquids. These advances will now make reliable, high-performance biofertilizers possible that can underpin sustainable agriculture for a wide range of crops, climates, and production systems.

REFERENCES

Richa. (2023). Liquid Bio-Fertilizers: Prospects and Challenges. *Metabolomics, Proteomes and Gene Editing Approaches in Biofertilizer Industry*, 77-99.

Kaur, R., & Kaur, S. (2023). Carrier-based biofertilizers. In *Metabolomics, proteomes and gene editing approaches in biofertilizer industry* (pp. 57-75). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Dey, A. (2021). Liquid biofertilizers and their applications: an overview. *Environmental and agricultural microbiology: applications for sustainability*, 275-292.

Allouzi, M. M. A., Allouzi, S. M. A., Keng, Z. X., Supramaniam, C. V., Singh, A., & Chong, S. (2022). Liquid biofertilizers as a sustainable solution for agriculture. *Heliyon*, *8*(12).

Patil, R., Muttappanavar, R. K., & Jujin, S. A. (2023). Effect of Liquid and Carrier based Biofertilizers on Crop Growth and Soil Properties. *Strategies for Sustainable Agriculture*, 237.