

Tech Transfer in Agriculture: The Role of Agrinnovate India in e-Crop Deployment

Rita Fredericks

CEO, Precision Grow (A Unit
of Tech Visit IT Pvt Ltd)



Open Access

*Corresponding Author

Rita Fredericks*

Available online at

www.sunshineagriculture.vitalbiotech.org

Article History

Received: 28. 9.2025

Revised: 3. 10.2025

Accepted: 8. 10.2025

This article is published under the
terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural innovation increasingly depends on integrating scientific research with market pathways so that validated technologies reach end users — farmers and agro-enterprises — at scale. Technology transfer agencies and commercialization intermediaries are needed to translate laboratory prototypes and public R&D outputs into viable, user-oriented products and services. In India, Agrinnovate India Limited (AgIn) was established to perform this role for technologies developed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) and related institutions, facilitating licensing, incubations, and industry ties. The e-Crop electronic crop simulator — an IoT device combining sensors, simulation models, and advisory outputs — offers a recent, instructive example of how AgIn catalyzes deployment of public agricultural technology through licensing and industry partnerships.

2. Agrinnovate India: Mandate and Functions

Agrinnovate India Limited was incorporated in 2011 as a “for-profit” company owned by the Department of Agricultural Research & Education (DARE) to act as an interface between ICAR and stakeholders (farmers, R&D organizations, private firms, educational institutions). Its core functions include evaluating ICAR technologies for market potential, negotiating licensing agreements, supporting start-ups and incubators, assisting in product development and scale-up, and facilitating linkages for commercialization. By offering a professional commercialization pathway, AgIn seeks to accelerate the adoption of Indian agricultural innovations while ensuring institutional IP and farmer welfare considerations are addressed.



Source: <https://krishijagran.com/industry-news/agrinovate-india-facilitates-transfer-of-icar-ctcri-e-crop-iot-technology-for-smart-farming>

3. The e-Crop Technology : Overview

The e-Crop (Electronic Crop) is an IoT-enabled crop simulator developed at ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (CTCRI). It integrates weather, soil moisture and nutrient data with crop growth models to simulate crop development in near real-time and provide daily agro-advisory recommendations (irrigation timing, nutrient scheduling, pest/disease alerts). The system combines sensor hardware, cloud analytics and AI/crop models to deliver farm-level decision support aimed at reducing water and nutrient footprints while maintaining or improving yields. ICAR has patented the e-Crop system and moved to commercialize and license it for broader use.

4. Agrinnovate's Role in e-Crop Transfer and Deployment

Agrinnovate India has taken several practical steps in the e-Crop transfer:

- **Technology Evaluation & IP Management:** AgIn coordinated between ICAR-CTCRI and potential commercial partners to evaluate the technology's

readiness, market fit, and IP status, ensuring appropriate licensing terms.

- **Licensing & Agreements:** AgIn facilitated a Technology Licensing Agreement (TLA) between ICAR-CTCRI and an industry partner (e.g., M/s Tech Visit IT Pvt. Ltd. in a noted instance), enabling a non-exclusive commercial pathway for production and distribution. This step moved the device from lab prototype to marketable product.
- **Scale-up Support & Linkages:** Through AgIn's industry network and commercialization experience, the licensee gains access to production, distribution channels, and pilot deployment sites, accelerating field trials and farmer demonstrations. AgIn's role reduces contractual friction, clarifies revenue/IP sharing, and aligns institutional responsibilities.

These actions illustrate the practical mechanics of an effective public-sector commercialization intermediary: technical vetting, IP/legal facilitation, partner matchmaking, and ongoing support for pilots and scaling.



Source: <https://krishijagran.com/industry-news/agrinovate-india-facilitates-transfer-of-icar-ctcri-e-crop-iot-technology-for-smart-farming>

5. Benefits Realized from e-Crop Commercialization

The commercialization and deployment of e-Crop through AgIn-facilitated licensing produce multiple benefits:

- **Farmer Decision Support:** Farmers receive daily, field-specific advisories that can improve irrigation scheduling, fertilizer use efficiency, and early warning for pests/diseases — translating into cost savings and yield stability.
- **Resource Use Efficiency:** e-Crop's simulation and advisory capability can reduce water and nutrient footprints by optimizing inputs to crop needs, contributing to sustainability goals.
- **Economic Opportunity & Industry Growth:** Licensing enables private firms to manufacture and market devices at scale, creating business opportunities and potential employment in agritech manufacturing and services. AgIn's facilitation helps unlock commercialization value chains.
- **Research Feedback Loop:** Deploying technologies in farmer fields generates operational data that researchers can use to refine models and iterate on designs — realizing an evidence-driven improvement cycle for public R&D.

6. Mechanisms of Effective Tech Transfer (Lessons from e-Crop)

From the e-Crop experience, the following mechanisms appear essential for effective technology transfer:

1. **Clear IP & Licensing Frameworks:** Transparent, flexible licensing (including non-exclusive, regionally tailored agreements) encourages multiple industry partners and faster diffusion. AgIn's negotiation of TLAs with firms demonstrates this.
2. **Public-Private Collaboration Models:** Early engagement with industry partners helps align product design with farmer needs and manufacturing constraints. Pilot projects co-managed by the research institute and industry are critical.
3. **Capacity Building & Demonstrations:** Farmer training, local demonstrations and extension integration are required so that the tech is understood and trusted at the farm level. e-Crop deployment has included demonstrations and awareness events.

4. **Data Governance & Quality Assurance:** As devices collect farm data, mechanisms for data ownership, privacy, and platform interoperability must be in place to ensure farmer trust and research utility. AgIn's role can include advising on these governance issues.

5. **Affordability & Business Models:** Low-cost devices, pay-per-service models, or farmer-group subscriptions improve affordability. Industry partners must design sustainable business models backed by supportive policies or subsidy pathways.

7. Challenges in Scaling e-Crop and Similar Agritech

Despite the promise, several barriers complicate wide deployment:

- **Cost & Affordability:** IoT devices and recurring analytics costs can be prohibitive for smallholders unless subsidized or offered through aggregators.
- **Customization Across Agro-Climates:** Crop models must be localized for diverse soil, climate and cropping systems; one-size-fits-all solutions underperform.
- **Connectivity & Infrastructure:** Many rural areas face unreliable power and internet connectivity, limiting real-time IoT effectiveness.
- **Extension & Trust:** Farmers need trusted intermediaries and extension agents to adopt new digital advisories. Behavior change and risk aversion are real obstacles.
- **Data & Regulatory Concerns:** Clear policies on data ownership, privacy and cross-platform interoperability are still evolving; lack of clarity can hinder partnerships.

AgIn and its licensees must confront and mitigate these challenges through subsidized pilots, regionally tailored models, offline functionality, capacity building, and policy engagement.

8. Policy Implications and Recommendations

To maximize the societal returns from public agricultural R&D via institutions like Ag In, the following policy directions are recommended:

1. **Supportive Finance & Subsidy Routes:** Government programs can offer matched funding or procurement support for proven devices deployed in smallholder landscapes, lowering farmer adoption costs.
2. **Standardized Licensing Templates:** Pre-approved model agreements for common tech classes (IoT devices, diagnostics) reduce

- negotiation time and encourage multiple licensees. AgIn can maintain such templates.
3. **Data Governance Frameworks:** National guidelines for agricultural data (ownership, sharing, anonymization) should be promulgated to protect farmers while enabling research and service improvement.
 4. **Integration with Extension Systems:** Align commercialization with public extension (Krishi Vigyan Kendras, line departments) so technology demonstrations and training are scaled through trusted local channels.
 5. **R&D–Industry Co-Funding:** Encourage collaborative grants where industry supports applied R&D to localize models and device ruggedization for Indian conditions.
 6. **Monitoring & Impact Evaluation:** Mandate independent evaluation of deployed technologies to measure water/nutrient savings, yield impacts, and socioeconomic outcomes; use the evidence to refine policies and scale decisions.

CONCLUSION

The e-Crop case highlights how a well-designed technology transfer pathway — mediated by a commercialization intermediary like Agrinnovate India — can move a public research prototype into marketable, farmer-usable solutions. AgIn's facilitation of licensing, partner matchmaking and commercialization reduces friction between research outputs and industry uptake. However, to achieve sustained, equitable impact at scale requires attention to affordability, localization,

extension integration, data governance, and supportive public financing. With those complementary measures, public R&D can more consistently translate into on-farm innovations that improve productivity and sustainability.

REFERENCES

- Evenson, R. E. (1988). Technological opportunities and international technology transfer in agriculture. In *Contributions to Economic Analysis* (Vol. 174, pp. 133-169). Elsevier.
- Kuijpers, R., & Swinnen, J. (2016). Value chains and technology transfer to agriculture in developing and emerging economies. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 98(5), 1403-1418.
- Ruttan, V. W., & Hayami, Y. (1973). Technology transfer and agricultural development. *Technology and culture*, 14(2), 119-151.
- Suwanan, A. F., Rori, A. M., & Kurniawan, D. T. (2021). The critical review of agriculture technological transfer in the era of decentralization. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 306, p. 03021). EDP Sciences.
- Winter, M. (1997). New policies and new skills: agricultural change and technology transfer. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 37(3), 363-381.