SUNSHINE AGRICULTURE
e-Newsletter

ISSN (E): 2583 – 0821

Sun. Agri.:e- Newsletter, (2026) *6*(10), 139-143

Article ID: 703

Custom Hiring Centres: An Economic Solution for Smallholder Farm Mechanization

Sushma Purada[1]
Chirag B. Channe[2]
Arvind P Khandare[3]
Abhimanyu Patel[4]
Sidhartha Mishra[5]

[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agribusiness Management, University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK, Bengaluru
[2]Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Agricultural Economics, Sam Hinggingbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, U.P
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics and Statistics College of Forestry, Akola
[4]Guest Teacher, Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, College of Horticulture and Research Station, Sitapur Surguja, Chhattisgarh.
[5]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Soil Science Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology Modipuram, Meerut -250110

Open Access

*Corresponding Author
Sushma Purada*

Available online at
www.sunshineagriculture.vitalbiotech.org

Article History
Received: 17.10.2026
Revised: 22.10.2026
Accepted: 27.10.2026

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0.

INTRODUCTION

The machine most applicable for small-scale farmers is often the one that starts, runs well, and is cheap to maintain. A big question is: why invest in a machine that is only used on the farm for a few days a year. Custom Hiring Centres, or CHCs as they are commonly known, is a solution towards the problem of mechanisation demand outstripping acquisition of these assets. It is a mechanism or service in which a farmer can buy services on demand. Therefore, they promise to democratise productive technology, through spreading the cost of ownership and a larger working area across many users.

Custom Hiring Centres Work

A CHC a service local machinery as an enterprise. It is possible that an entrepreneur run this, a cooperative, farmer producer organization, group or any other institution. It depends the needs of the locality, it can provide land preparation, sowing, transplanting, weeding, harvesting, threshing and related services. In some instances, they only provide the equipment for rent in other cases they provide the entire package (machine, power source, fuel, trained operator). Farmers without tractors or operating experience would find this distinction important. Something is no longer an option if some time cannot serve as the central location for a complete agricultural operation. An equipment shed, however, will never do so on its own without reliable service agreement.

The Economics of Hiring Instead of Owning

Equipment ownership can be expensive, even when the equipment is not being used. Depreciation, interest on invested capital, insurance and enclosure are to be considered along with fuel, repairs and labour. Small cultivated area provides limited possibility to amortize these costs.

When you hire, it becomes an ongoing cost but not an ownership expense, so you have money to fund your seed, irrigation or other priorities. Of course, extensive cost comparisons, including transport, wait, and related extra labour, should be made by farmers.

Hire is cost-effective, as long as the service provided and operational dependability give you the best bang for your buck. Ownership may remain viable as long as there is lucrative work each year.



Timeliness Has Economic Value

There are biological time limits in agricultural operations. Sowing late may subject crops to unfavourable weather conditions after flowering and delayed harvesting may result in more loss-time gain in future crops. Therefore, the value of mechanisation is its timeliness, as well as labour savings. A reasonably priced service becomes expensive if it arrives after the useful operating window. Centres should estimate peak demand before accepting bookings and maintain realistic schedules that account for travel, field conditions and breakdowns. Early registration, village coordination and alternative service arrangements can reduce uncertainty. Farmers also need prompt updates when schedules change, enabling them to make practical decisions before an avoidable delay damages the crop.

Selecting Machinery for Local Needs

Equipment selection should start with the farming system rather than a catalogue of inexpensive or subsidised tools. There are a number of questions to be answered: which operations are labour rationed, how large is the area of land that requires service, and which fields can accommodate these machines. Performance is affected by: soil conditions, slopes, access roads, crop spacing, and tractor compatibility. Small implements could be more effective, especially on fractured holdings, than the farm-wide machines of the past. Use of bed makers, precision seeders, power weeders, bed makers or transport equipment relevant to horticultural areas. Demonstrations in a local or realistic environment are necessary to assess fit for purpose prior to purchase. A carefully chosen fleet solves real problems without vectoring hard-to-raise capital into spectacular but suboptimal utilised machines.

Making the Centre Financially Viable

The financial solidity of a CHC is driven by productive use. The machinery in the workforce needs to be productive enough during its period of useful service to cover not only operating costs but also fixed costs. For the work managers should forecast carefully and yearly, keeping in mind rain, maintenance and competition especially from existing providers. Businesses can calculate the break-even workload by dividing the fixed costs of the year by the contribution earned per unit of service from variable costs. This helps identify whether anticipated bookings will make the investment worthwhile. A subsidized yet affordable machine can be unprofitable if associated downtime is too great. Profitability requires sufficient paid work, efficient operation and realistic accounting throughout the year.

Fair Pricing and Transparent Charges

Rental charges should be understandable to farmers and sustainable for the centre. Prices may be quoted as per hour, acre, hectare or completed operation depending on the service. Every quotation should say whether it includes fuel, operator wages, transport and supporting labour. Managers must plan updates, depreciations and eventual replacements more than draining all cash receipts as profit. Transparent fees for large distances or particularly difficult fields limits conflict. However, capricious minimum charges exclude small plots. Group bookings allow travel expenses to be shared across neighbouring farms, allowing centres to service smaller clients without taking additional trips between scattered fields.

Managing Seasonal Demand

The busiest period makes the biggest challenge: some farmers need the same machine at the same time several times. Buying too many machines in this short period of time can make equipment sit idle later on at a high cost. Centres can help through regional organisation where it works agronomically, multi-site booking when farmers are located in different areas with varying crop calendars, or via complementary services throughout the seasons. But diversification follows confirmed demand, as investment in unrelated machines can bring about debt problems and heavy maintenance costs. When all centres don't own every implement, more availability comes from shared implementation schedules and mutually agreed backup arrangements. Expansion must be guided by demonstrated unmet demand in the service and proven operating capacity rather than unrealistic expectations.

Skilled Operators and Reliable Maintenance

The performance of a machine is determined only by its operator and how it's maintained. Wrong settings can result in seed wastage, crop damage or labour that is uneven, leading to further loss of confidence in the farmer despite a machine that was on time. Operators at the Centres should learn to calibrate, interpret the field, handle safely, and adapt basic troubleshooting skills. The risk of failure during critical times is lower if the machine is protected through routine inspection, timely lubrication and replacement of components. Ensure that essential spare parts and reliable repair contacts are in place before the season starts. Fault linking and protracted downtime can be discovered with job records. Reliability of service is contingent on maintenance spending, while skilled operators affect both use efficiency and customer retention.

Governance that Builds Farmer Confidence

Collective ownership may enhance grassroots participation, but it does not automatically translate into reliable and efficient management. Farmers groups should have defined roles for bookings, payments, upkeep and financial reporting. A designated manager should be empowered to manage daily

operations within established guidelines. Open market prices, recorded transactions, and an open queue prevents elite members from getting in quick. Predefined procedures for complaints, cancellations and damage bills work, instead of discovering issues after the fact. Routine monitoring of accounts provides members with the ability to differentiate between authentic operating problems vs. bad steering practices. Regardless of whether ownership at holdings is vested back in farmers or implemented through collective arrangements, farmers to keep the centre through successive seasons is the responsibility of both accountability and professionalism.

Digital Tools for Better Coordination

Digital tools could support the work of business owners in machinery services by keeping travel bookings, location, completed work and payments. Small centres may get by with just a simple messaging and telephone setup, but larger networked centres can adopt shared scheduling platforms. A 2025 survey of Punjab-equipped crop residue machinery centres revealed a limited adoption of dedicated digital tools with evidence base applications still falling short of the service challenges that exist. Technology support to current communication behaviour and deliver relevant information in language that people have access to. Remain alternative booking options for farmers without smartphones. Closed-loop record keeping is far more important than fancy software, especially when the information helps managers, identify idle machines, delayed jobs and those customers wanting service.



Reaching Farmers who are often Overlooked

Smallholders are not a single group, and there are other barriers to entry, beyond rental prices. Smallholders may not have user agreements in the hands of providers; women farmers face difficulties acquiring information, transportation, or acceptance as customers. Small plots and remote villages can have less access to services since they are more expensive to service. These barriers need to be addressed directly by the Inclusive centres and be turned into practical actions, such as

availability of booking channels for technology, grouped services and suitable implements. While mechanization reduces hard physical work it may create labour market change. Training opportunities in machine operation, repair and service coordination can enable rural workers to take part in the changing agricultural economy.

Supporting Environmentally Responsible Farming

Hiring services can benefit the environment when the right machines are paired with good farming practices. For example, residue-management equipment may let farmers prepare fields without burning, and well-calibrated seeders can place seed more accurately while reducing extra trips. But simply having access to machinery does not guarantee lower emissions, less water use or healthier soil. Heavy machines can compact fields if used when the ground is too wet, and unnecessary tillage burns fuel without offering real gains. Service centres should tailor their advice to field conditions and, when needed, provide a coordinated set of services. Environmental benefits should be assessed by measuring fuel use, how crop residues are handled and the condition of the soil not by assuming that mechanization is always sustainable.

Moving Beyond Machinery Subsidies

Public support is most effective when it provides solutions to the challenges that prevent reliable service delivery. Machinery buying assistance must go hand in hand with operator training, repair networks, working capital and realistic business planning. New investments require local demand assessment so the subsidized centres are not simply creating excess capacity. Performance monitoring should consider quantity of jobs performed, adherence to service schedules, repeat purchases, financial sustainability and possible for smaller farms. Successful machine sales are only one indicator of success. Technical advice and demonstrations can be aided by partnerships between leading agricultural institutions, farmer groups and service enterprises. Finally, to genuinely benefit the target farming communities, support is needed to help centres evolve into dependable businesses with tangible gains.

CONCLUSION

Custom Hiring Centres allow farmers to gain access to mechanization wherein they are responsible only for operating costs and not bearing the entire cost of the machinery. Granted the supply of equipment alone is not enough to guarantee the success of this model: the expected machines need to be available, right at the right time, operated by skilled operators, should be priced transparently, held accountable for all its processes. Emerging evidence pointing to both encouraging farm benefits and gaps in service delivery. Hence, robust centres require a focus on usage, inclusivity and business sustainability combined with government investment. CHCs organized around the local crop systems behind a listening process with the farmer response can, therefore, remove obstacles to operation, foster local businesses, and empower smallholders to make the best use of financial resources by increasing productivity.