SUNSHINE AGRICULTURE
e-Newsletter

ISSN (E): 2583 – 0821

Sun. Agri.:e- Newsletter, (2026) *6*(10), 58-60

Article ID: 686

Indigenous Livestock Breeds: A Living Resource for a Changing Climate

Sonal Sharma[1]
Shiv Kumar Tyagi[2]

[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Division of Animal Genetics and Breeding, ICAR- IVRI Izatnagar, Bareilly, U.P.

[2]Assistant Professor Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology

Open Access

*Corresponding Author
Sonal Sharma*

Available online at
www.sunshineagriculture.vitalbiotech.org

Article History
Received: 06.10.2026
Revised: 10.10.2026
Accepted: 14.10.2026

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0.

INTRODUCTION

A village herd is shaped by the place in which it lives. Heat, seasonal feed shortages, disease pressure, water availability and the work expected from animals all influence which animals survive and reproduce. Over generations, farmers and pastoralists have selected animals that fit these conditions. India's indigenous cattle, buffalo, sheep and goat populations are therefore more than a collection of breed names: they represent a wide range of genetic options built through long interaction between animals, people and landscapes.

Climate change is making that diversity increasingly relevant. Hotter days, irregular rainfall and pressure on fodder and water can challenge livestock production. No single breed or technology can solve every problem. But populations that have been maintained in particular agro-ecological conditions may carry combinations of traits that help them function under local management. Conserving and improving these resources gives future farmers and breeders more choices when production conditions change.

Adaptation is practical, not mythical

The word "adapted" is sometimes used as if it means an animal can withstand any hardship. That is not what it means. Adaptation describes a relative ability to perform, reproduce or survive in a particular environment. A breed suited to a hot, dry region may not have the same advantages in a cold or humid region. Within a breed, animals also differ. Some may be more fertile, better at using local fodder or less affected by heat than others. These differences need to be observed and recorded rather than assumed from appearance or reputation.

Traits associated with adaptation can include reproductive performance under local conditions, ability to maintain body condition when feed quality changes, walking ability, tolerance of heat, or survival of young animals. The relevance of each trait depends on the farming system. A dairy household may value sustained milk production and fertility. A pastoralist may place greater weight on mobility, hardiness and the ability to make use of sparse vegetation. The best breeding goal is the one that reflects the actual work animals must do.

Adaptation does not mean immunity to disease, heat stress or poor nutrition. An adapted animal still needs shade, clean water, adequate feed and veterinary care. Good management lets animals express their genetic potential; it is not a substitute for it. Likewise, a high-producing animal is not automatically the best choice for every farm. Its feed, housing and health-care needs must be compared with what the household can reliably provide.

Improvement begins with clear goals and records

A successful improvement programme starts by asking farmers what they want their animals to do better. The answer should be specific enough to guide selection. For dairy cattle, goals might include milk yield, lactation length, fertility, udder health, longevity and ease of management. In goats, a community may priorities kid survival, growth, kidding frequency, mothering ability, milk for household use or sale value. Sheep keepers may also consider wool or meat traits, flocking behavior and performance during migration.

Once the goal is clear, simple records help separate inherited differences from the effects of age, feeding and care. Animal identity, parentage where known, birth date, body weight at agreed ages, kidding or calving history, health events and production are useful starting points. Records need to be consistent. Data collected once and forgotten cannot guide selection. Farmers, local animal-health workers and breeding specialists can decide together which information is practical to collect and how often it should be reviewed.

Selection within a locally adapted population can gradually improve productivity while retaining useful characteristics. The selected parents should be the animals that best meet the agreed objective-not simply the largest or most popular individuals. In small populations, it is important to avoid overusing a few males. Planned mating, exchange of breeding males among groups and attention to relatedness can help maintain genetic diversity and reduce the chance of close-relative matings.

Crossbreeding needs a plan

Crossbreeding can combine strengths from different breeds, and it has been useful in some production systems. It can also create difficulties when it is done without a defined objective, suitable management or a plan for future generations. Farmers may not know which animals to retain, and distinctive local populations can become diluted over time. Before crossing breeds, planners should consider feed resources, climate, disease environment, market demand, replacement animals and whether farmers can maintain the resulting crossbred population.

For many communities, selecting better animals within the local population is a practical first step. Where crossbreeding is chosen, it should be monitored over several generations. Records should show which animals were crossed, what traits improved and whether fertility, survival and adaptability were maintained. This helps ensure that a short-term increase in production does not create longer-term costs that farmers cannot manage.

Conservation succeeds when farmers benefit

Conservation is strongest when local breeds remain useful in everyday life. Farmers need access to healthy breeding males, veterinary services, feed and fodder advice, fair markets

and recognition for the value of their animals. Breed societies and producer groups can help organize breeding and maintain records. Universities and animal husbandry departments can support training, population assessment and careful planning. Local knowledge should be treated as evidence that complements scientific measurement.

Some populations may need additional safeguards when their numbers become small. These can include identifying breeding animals across villages, coordinating mating, preserving semen or embryos where appropriate, and documenting the population before it changes further. Such measures work best when they are connected to living herds and the people who maintain them. A frozen sample is valuable, but it cannot replace the knowledge, skills and livelihoods that keep a breed in use.

Recognizing value on the farm

For farmers, breed improvement needs to show up in everyday decisions. A household can note which animals remain healthy through the lean season, which females raise their young reliably and which animals need repeated treatment. These observations are not a substitute for formal measurements, but they help identify what should be measured. When farmers and technicians compare notes across several seasons, they can spot animals that combine useful production with the ability to cope under the farm's actual conditions.

Local markets can also influence whether farmers continue to keep an indigenous breed. If buyers do not recognise its value, farmers may be encouraged to replace it with another type even when the local animal fits their resources better. Cooperatives, producer groups and extension services can help connect breed identity with quality products, breeding services and reliable market information. The aim is not to create a premium claim without evidence, but to make the animal's contribution visible and economically worthwhile.

Community participation is essential when breed information is collected. Farmers should understand why animals are being measured, how records will be used and what benefits may follow. Returning results in clear language can build trust and help avoid a pattern in which outside teams collect information but local keepers see no practical outcome. When the people who maintain a population help shape its future, conservation becomes part of a working livelihood rather than a separate activity.

Indigenous livestock breeds are not relics of an earlier age, nor are they automatically superior to every other type of animal. Their value lies in the diversity of traits and histories they carry. With sound breeding goals, careful records, improved health and nutrition, and farmer participation, local populations can be made more productive while retaining important adaptive qualities. In an uncertain climate, maintaining that range of options is a practical investment in future livestock systems.

REFERENCES

FAO. The Second Report on the State of the World's Animal Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Part 1: The State of Livestock Diversity. https://www.fao.org/4/i4787e/i4787e01.pdf

FAO. Sheep and Goat Breeds of India. https://www.fao.org/4/x6532e/X6532E01.htm

Boettcher, P. J. et al. (2015). Genetic resources and genomics for adaptation of livestock to climate change. FAO background paper. https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/2d2758cd-8561-48fe-8f56-3176c1cdbed3/content