

The Microbial Detective: Unmasking Hidden Polluters with DNA Forensics

**Tanuj¹, Tejaswini Karale²,
Shalini Sundi³**

¹College of fisheries, Guru Angad
Dev Veterinary and Animal
Sciences University Ludhiana
Punjab, India

²Assistant Commissioner of
Fisheries, Government of
Maharashtra, India

³ICAR-Central Institute of
Brackishwater Aquaculture,
Navsari, Gujarat, India



Open Access

*Corresponding Author
Tejaswini Karale*

Available online at
www.sunshineagriculture.vitalbiotech.org

Article History

Received: 05.07.2026

Revised: 10.07.2026

Accepted: 15.07.2026

This article is published under the
terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

The Microbial Detective: Unmasking Hidden Polluters with DNA Forensics

Imagine a busy local beach suddenly closed by health authorities. A routine water test has revealed high levels of fecal bacteria, making the water unsafe for swimming. But where did this contamination come from? Was it a leak from a nearby aging sewer pipe, runoff from a local cattle farm after a heavy rain, or perhaps just the large population of seagulls living on the pier? For decades, answering these questions was mostly guesswork. We could detect that pollution was present, but identifying the "who" behind the "what" remained a mystery. Today, a revolutionary field of science known as Microbial Source Tracking (MST) is changing the game. By using DNA as a forensic tool, scientists act as microbial detectives, pinpointing the exact source of pollution with remarkable precision to protect our health, environment, and economy (Scott et al., 2002; Borchardt et al., 2021).

Why the Source of Contamination Matters

Microbial contamination in our water is more than just a nuisance; it is a significant public health threat. Faecal pollution in drinking water and recreational areas can introduce dangerous pathogens like *Salmonella*, hepatitis A, and various noroviruses (Scott et al., 2002). Identifying the source is critical because the level of risk to human health depends on the origin of the waste. Generally, human waste is considered the highest risk to other humans because it is more likely to carry human-specific diseases. Animal waste, while still hazardous, carries different risks, such as zoonotic diseases that jump from animals to humans (Mohseni & Ghorbani, 2024). Without knowing the source, authorities cannot apply the right solutions. Millions of dollars might be wasted repairing a municipal sewer system when the real culprit is actually a poorly managed livestock operation miles upstream (Scott et al., 2002).

The Principles of MST: Microbes as Host-Specific Witnesses

Microbial Source Tracking is built on a simple principle: microbes adapt to their surroundings. Microorganisms living in the gut of a human face different conditions such as specific pH levels, nutrients, and temperatures than those living in a cow, a dog, or a bird. Over time, these pressures lead to the development of unique genetic or physical "fingerprints" within the microbial population that are specific to their host (Scott et al., 2002). The primary objective of MST is to identify these fingerprints in environmental samples. By analysing the DNA or the characteristics of indicator bacteria like *E. coli* or *Enterococcus* scientists can determine if a specific signature matches a human, a pet, or a wild animal (Borchardt et al., 2021).

The MST Toolbox: From Libraries to DNA Snippets

In the early days of source tracking, scientists relied on library-dependent methods. This approach required building a massive "rogue's gallery" or database of bacteria collected from known sources in a specific area. A common technique was Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) analysis, which examined the antibiotic resistance patterns of bacteria (Scott et al., 2002). Because bacteria in a cow's gut are exposed to different antibiotics than those in a human's gut, they develop distinct resistance fingerprints. While effective in specific watersheds, these methods are incredibly labour-intensive and expensive because the library often only works for the local area where it was built (Scott et al., 2002).

Today, the field has largely shifted toward library-independent methods, which are faster and more flexible. Instead of building a local database, scientists look for host-specific molecular markers. These are specific DNA sequences from certain groups of bacteria, like *Bacteroides*, that are known to exist only in certain animals (Scott et al., 2002). To find these markers, researchers use Polymerase

Chain Reaction (PCR). Think of PCR as a molecular photocopier that can take a tiny, invisible snippet of DNA and copy it millions of times until there is enough to detect. An advanced version, quantitative PCR (qPCR), doesn't just find the DNA; it counts it, allowing scientists to say not only if human waste is present, but exactly how much is there (Borchardt et al., 2021).

The Digital Era: Metagenomics and Artificial Intelligence

While PCR is excellent for looking for a specific "suspect," the latest frontier in MST is metagenomics. If PCR is like looking for a specific name in a book, metagenomics is like reading every word in an entire library at once (Chiriac & Murariu, 2021). Using Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS), metagenomics allows scientists to sequence the collective genetic material of all organisms in an environmental sample without needing to grow them in a lab crucial because over 99% of environmental microbes are unculturable (Aravinthkumar et al., 2022; Chiriac & Murariu, 2021).

This transition has created a "deluge" of data that requires Artificial Intelligence (AI) and bioinformatics to process. Machine learning algorithms, such as Random Forests (RF) and Support Vector Machines (SVM), can sift through massive datasets to identify subtle microbial signatures that humans could never see (Mohseni & Ghorbani, 2024). Recent studies have used AI to analyse "geospatial microbial provenance," where the specific mix of microbes in a sample can accurately pinpoint the city or even the neighbourhood of its origin (Ruuskanen et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2024).

Global Applications: From Farms to Public Health

The applications for these microbial detective tools impact almost every aspect of environmental management:

- **Water Safety:** MST is the frontline defence for our taps and beaches, preventing outbreaks of waterborne

diseases by identifying and stopping faecal contamination at its source (Scott et al., 2002).

- **Wastewater Surveillance:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, these tools were used to track the virus in sewage, providing an early-warning system for cities (Borchardt et al., 2021).
- **Agriculture and Aquaculture:** MST helps distinguish between natural wildlife runoff and livestock waste, allowing for better land management and ensuring that seafood harvesting grounds remain free from human contamination (Scott et al., 2002; Mohseni & Ghorbani, 2024).

Current Challenges: Specificity and Standardization

Despite these advances, the field faces several hurdles. One major challenge is marker specificity; a marker thought to be 100% human-specific might occasionally show up in pets, leading to a "false positive." Environmental variability is another issue, as microbes don't live forever once they leave their host. If a human marker dies off faster in salt water than an animal marker, the test results might incorrectly suggest that animals are the primary source (Scott et al., 2002; Borchardt et al., 2021). Furthermore, the high cost of advanced sequencing and a lack of global standardization mean that results from different laboratories can be difficult to compare (Borchardt et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2024).

Future Directions: A Handheld Revolution

The future of MST looks like something out of science fiction. We are moving toward portable sequencing, where technicians could take a small, handheld device to a riverbank and get a full DNA profile of the contamination in real-time (Offiong et al., 2023). We are also seeing the rise of multi-omics, integrating DNA data with information about active genes (RNA) and proteins to see exactly how microbial communities are responding to pollution in real-time (Armengaud, 2012).

Perhaps most importantly, MST is becoming a cornerstone of the One Health concept, which recognizes that the health of humans, animals, and the environment is deeply interconnected (Mohseni & Ghorbani, 2024; Borchardt et al., 2021). By integrating DNA data with Geographic Information Systems (GIS), scientists are creating global maps of microbial health, tracking pathogens and antibiotic resistance across continents (Ruuskanen et al., 2021; Borchardt et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

The emergence of Microbial Source Tracking (MST) as a sophisticated forensic tool has permanently altered the landscape of microbiology, moving the field beyond mere observation toward a predictive and defensive science. We are no longer simply cataloguing the inhabitants of the microbial world; we are operating as forensic detectives, utilizing DNA fingerprints and host-specific markers to unmask hidden polluters and safeguard global water resources. This revolution is fundamentally powered by the convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and High-Throughput Sequencing (HTS), which allows researchers to extract meaningful biological signals from massive, complex datasets that were previously impenetrable.

Machine learning models can now detect subtle variations in community structure to determine geospatial provenance and identify the presence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes with unprecedented accuracy. Looking forward, the field is moving toward a portable sequencing revolution, where handheld devices could provide real-time genomic profiles of environmental samples directly in the field. When integrated into a multi-omics framework incorporating meta transcriptomics and metaproteomic MST will provide a 3D view of microbial activity, revealing not just who is present, but how they are actively responding to environmental stressors.

Ultimately, the success of these digital tools depends on the adoption of rigorous reporting standards like EMMI and MIQE, which ensure that the scientific insights generated are reliable, transparent, and interoperable. By harnessing the intelligence of modern technology and the power of DNA, we are charting a course toward a healthier, more sustainable future governed by the "One Health" philosophy, where the health of humanity, animals, and the environment are monitored as one interconnected system. We have only turned the first page in the book of digital microbiology; the full story is currently being written through the combined power of AI and metagenomics.

REFERENCES

- Aravinthkumar, A., Jandaik, S., Gautam, H. R., & Sheela, J. (2022). An overview of Metagenomics: The current era of bio-analysis. *Octa Journal of Biosciences*, 10(1), 13-26.
- Armengaud, J. (2012). Microbiology and proteomics: getting the best of both worlds. *Environmental Microbiology*, 15(1), 12-23.
- Borchardt, M. A., Boehm, A. B., Salit, M., Spencer, S. K., Wigginton, K. R., & Noble, R. T. (2021). The Environmental Microbiology Minimum Information (EMMI) Guidelines: qPCR and dPCR Quality and Reporting for Environmental Microbiology. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 55(15), 10210-10223.
- Chiriac, L. S., & Murariu, D. T. (2021). Application of metagenomics in ecology: A brief overview. *Current Trends in Natural Sciences*, 10(19), 346-353.
- Kayani, M. R., Huang, W., Feng, R., & Chen, L. (2021). Genome-resolved metagenomics using environmental and clinical samples. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 22(5), bbab030.
- Kumar, B., Lorusso, E., Fosso, B., & Pesole, G. (2024). A comprehensive overview of microbiome data in the light of machine learning applications: categorization, accessibility, and future directions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 15, 1343572.
- Mohseni, P., & Ghorbani, A. (2024). Exploring the synergy of artificial intelligence in microbiology: Advancements, challenges, and future prospects. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Reports*, 1, 100005.
- Offiong, N. A. O., Edet, N. B., Shaibu, J. E., Akan, S. S., Atakpa, N. A., Sanganyado, E., ... & Okoh, I. O. (2023). Metagenomics for bioremediation: A review of the role of microorganisms in the fate of environmental contaminants. *Frontiers in Environmental Chemistry*, 4, 1052697.
- Ruuskanen, M. O., Sommeria-Klein, G., Ladau, J., & Lahti, L. (2021). Modelling spatial patterns in host-associated microbial communities. *Environmental Microbiology*, 23(5), 2374-2388.
- Scott, T. M., Rose, J. B., Jenkins, T. M., Farrah, S. R., & Lukasik, J. (2002). Microbial Source Tracking: Current Methodology and Future Directions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 68(12), 5796-5803.
- Simon, C., & Daniel, R. (2011). Metagenomic analyses: past and future trends. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(4), 1153-1161.